

Systematic Comparison of Annotation Tools

Thema:

Systematic Comparison of Annotation Tools

Art:

FPM

BetreuerIn:

Andreas Schmid

BearbeiterIn:

Florian Kaendl, Philipp Schauhuber

Status:

abgeschlossen

Stichworte:

Physical-Digital, Annotation, PDF, Paper

angelegt:

2020-11-03

Abgabe:

2021-04-15

Hintergrund

Annotating text documents is a common task among office workers. Besides the „classical“ method of highlighting and taking notes on paper there is a variety of different tools, ranging from built-in functions of different PDF readers over annotating LaTeX source code (e.g. in [Overleaf](#)) to emulating the paper-based process with tablet and stylus. Each of those techniques offers different affordances for users, making some types of annotation easier and others harder.

Zielsetzung der Arbeit

This work aims to find out to what extend the available tools of a certain annotation method influence which kinds of annotations are made and how many errors in a text are found. This includes creating an overview of existing annotation methods beforehand. In a controlled user study, representative annotation methods should be compared in terms of qualitative characteristics and error recall.

Konkrete Aufgaben

TBD

Erwartete Vorkenntnisse

- designing and conducting a user study

Weiterführende Quellen

TBD

From:

<https://wiki.mi.uni-r.de/> - **MI Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://wiki.mi.uni-r.de/arbeiten/comparing_annotation_tools

Last update: **04.05.2021 13:11**

